Direct Analysis and MALDI Imaging of Formalin-Fixed, Paraffin-Embedded Tissue Sections
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Formalin fixation, generally followed by paraffin embedding, is the standard and well-established processing method employed by pathologist. This treatment conserves and stabilizes biopsy samples for years. Analysis of FFPE tissues from biopsy libraries has been, so far, a challenge for proteomics biomarker studies. Herein, we present two methods for the direct analysis of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues by MALDI-MS. The first is based on the use of a reactive matrix, 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine, useful for FFPE tissues stored less than 1 year. The second approach is applicable for all FFPE tissues regardless of conservation time. The strategy is based on in situ enzymatic digestion of the tissue section after paraffin removal. In situ digestion can be performed on a specific area of the tissue as well as on a very small area (microdigestion). Combining automated microdigestion of a predefined tissue array with either in situ extraction prior to classical nanoLC/MS analysis or automated microspotting of MALDI matrix according to the same array allows the identification of both proteins by nanoLC-nanoESI and MALDI imaging. When adjacent tissue sections are used, it is, thus, possible to correlate protein identification and molecular imaging. These combined approaches, along with FFPE tissue analysis provide access to massive amounts of archived samples in the clinical pathology setting.
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Introduction

Since its introduction in the mid 1980s,¹-³ Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) mass spectrometry has become a powerful tool in biological research, especially in proteomics. Recently, Caprioli⁴-⁶ and other groups⁷-¹³ have developed MALDI techniques for direct tissue analysis and molecular imaging allowing the detection and localization of a large number of compounds directly from tissue sections in one acquisition. However, this novel MALDI application has only been successfully carried out on fresh frozen tissues, without fixation or tissue processing for conservation, except for ethanol fixation¹⁴ or in direct analysis on invertebrates.¹⁵ Nonetheless, a major source of tissue samples are formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues found in hospital libraries. Even if FFPE tissues are extensively used for histological, immunohistochemical, or ISH studies, such tissues have only been minimally studied for molecular information either on DNA or proteins. MALDI was already used to identify small nuclear polymorphisms (SNP) from DNA isolated from FFPE tissues.¹⁶ However, for protein identification from FFPE tissues, only approaches using either LC–MS/MS¹⁷–¹⁹ or 2D gel electrophoresis were mainly reported.²⁰-²² These studies demonstrate that many proteins can be identified in profiling. They also shown that the same proteins can be identified independently of the conservation used, since identification is comparable for frozen and FFPE tissues.²² Recent reviews summarized the advancements in proteomics of FFPE tissues.²³,²⁴ However, LC–MS/MS and 2D gel electrophoresis on protein extracts did not provide anatomical localization data of these peptides/proteins. Potentiality of MALDI–MS direct analysis and imaging on FFPE tissues was not investigated. Currently, immunohistochemistry is the best technology which can provide such information. The drawback of this approach requires a priori knowledge of the protein/peptide candidates and the production and use of specific antibodies. Simultaneous localization of several proteins/peptides in one experiment is tedious, generally providing information on two to three markers at the same time. Thus, immunohistochemistry is more amendable for biomarker validation and less so for biomarker discovery.

Materials and Methods

Materials. Materials included α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (HCCA), sinapinic acid (SA), 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine
(DNPH), ammonium bicarbonate, Trisma base, xylene, ethanol, Angiotensin II, Des-Arg-Bradykinin, Substance P, ACTH 18–39, ACTH 7–38, bovine Insulin (Sigma-Aldrich), trypsin (Promega), Asp-N and Lys-C endopeptidases (Roche), trifluoroacetic acid (Applied Biosystems), acetonitrile p.a., and methanol p.a. (J. T. Baker). Indium Teen Oxide (ITO)-coated glass slides were from Bruker Daltonique (Wissembourg, France).

**Tissue Fixation.** Adult male Wistar rats weighing 250–350 g (animal welfare accreditation by the French ministry of the agriculture No. 04860) maintained under standard care were used. Five animals were sacrificed by decapitation and immediately dissected to remove the brain. Tissues were frozen at −80 °C for good conservation. Formalin fixation was obtained according to classical procedures. Briefly, after dehydration in successive graduated ethanol baths, tissue was fixed with 4% formalin in Tris-HCL buffer, pH 7.4, for 24 h. Tissues were then embedded in paraffin using xylene and stored in a box at room temperature until use.25

**Tissue Dewaxing and Preparation.** Tissue sections of 10 μm were applied onto ITO-coated conductive glass slides. Paraffin was removed by 2 washes in xylene for 5 min and lightly rehydrated with a graded ethanol series (100%, 96%, and 70%) before drying at room temperature.25

**FFPE Tissues Stored for 6 Months.** 2,4 Dinitrophenylhydrazin (2,4-DNPH) or a mix of 2,4-DNPH and HCCA was used as matrix. A total of 20–30 μL of the mix was applied onto the tissue using a micropipette and dried at room temperature.25

**FFPE Tissues Stored for 2 Years. For Direct Analysis:** Several spots of 2 μL of enzyme (trypsin 0.033 μg/μL in 25 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.4) were performed at different spots on the tissue to obtain representative proteins/peptides profile. Enzymatic digestion was performed at room temperature after covering the tissue section to decrease liquid evaporation. Every 10 min, enzyme was again deposited on the same spots. After final digestion, tissue was rinsed with 80% ethanol. Matrix (30 μL) was then applied on the tissue.

**Functionalyzed Magnetic Beads Extraction of Peptides from Tissue.** Extraction/purification of the sample was performed using Clinprot purification (C8/C3) system from Bruker Daltonics according to the manufacturer’s protocols adapted for the tissue.

For a section of 2 cm × 2 cm, after enzymatic digestion, 15 μL of binding solution was directly applied onto the tissue during 1 min, then 15 μL of magnetic bead was added on the slice. Extraction occurred during 10 min. During this step, beads and enzymatic results were mixed three times using a micropipette directly onto the tissue.

Then, digestion solution and beads were deposited into a polypropylene tube and washed three times using 500 μL of H2O/0.1% TFA. Peptides were eluted with 30 μL of ACN/H2O-0.1% TFA. MALDI/MS analysis can be performed after the resulting solution is mixed with MALDI matrix (HCCA, 10 mg, ACN/H2O-0.1% TFA (2:1 v/v)) or after solvent evaporation and dissolution in 10 μL of water.

For nanoLC–MS/MS identification, peptides were redisolved in H2O/MEOH-0.1% formic acid (9:1 v/v) after elution and evaporation.

**For MALDI Imaging:** Spots of enzyme (trypsin at 0.1 μg/μL in 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate filtered in a 0.45 μm Pall GxF/GHP filter) were performed using a high accurate position automatic microspotter (MALDI Sun Collect Spotter, Gmbh Germany) operated with a 75 μm capillary column. Thus, the whole tissue section was microspotted with enzyme following a regular raster of spots of ~300 μm size. Flow rate was set after optimization to 300 nL/s, and 300 nL of trypsin was applied each second at different spots covering the surface of tissue. The program was repeated several times to increase time of digestion. A total of 300 nL of HCCA matrix was then spotted on the same spots as for the enzyme using the same program. No ethanol wash step was used between trypsin and HCCA in that case.

**Mass Spectrometry.** 1. MALDI–MS. MALDI-TOF mass spectra were performed on a Voyager-DE STR mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems, Framingham, MA) with delayed extraction (DE) and a 337 nm pulsed nitrogen laser operating at 3 Hz and 2 ns pulse width. Either HCCA, SA, or 2,4-DNPH was used at
concentrations of 10, 20, or 4 mg/mL, respectively, in ACN/0.1%TFA:H2O (2:1, v/v). Matrices were applied onto the tissue using a micropipette (typically 20 µL for a whole rat brain slice) and then dried at room temperature. External calibration was performed using a mixed solution of peptides (Bradykinin 1.6 µM, Substance P 1.6 µM, ACTH 18–39 1.6 µM, ACTH 7–38 2 µM, bovine Insulin 4.8 µM, and bovine Ubiquitin 4.8 µM in H2O). Slices were visualized in the mass spectrometer using a color CCD camera (SONY). Each recorded mass spectrum resulted from the average of 200 laser shots on the area of interest. Acquisition parameters were set as follows: for HCCA and 2,4-DNPH matrices (mass range 500–10000), acceleration voltage, 25kV; first grid voltage, 94%; guide-wire voltage, 0.05%; extraction delay time, 200 ns.

2. MALDI-Imaging. For MALDI-IMS of fixed and paraffin-embedded tissues stored for 6 months, imaging was performed on an Ultraflex II TOF–TOF (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany). After a dewaxing step, images were obtained in positive linear mode using a mixture of HCCA and 2,4-DNPH (1:1, v/v). A total of 30 µL of the mix was applied onto the tissue using a micropipette and dried at room temperature. Acquisition was realized using a 337 nm, pulsed nitrogen laser, with a repetition rate of 50 Hz. For image reconstruction, the Flex-Imaging v. 1.0.6.0 software (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) was used. For positive mode, 12 000 points covering the whole slice with 100 laser shots per position were scanned. From each position, the software measures an average mass spectrum with its coordinates on the slice.

For MALDI-IMS of fixed and paraffin-embedded tissues stored for 2 years, imaging was performed on the voyager DE STR, using MALDI Imaging Tools (M. Stoeckli, Novartis, Switzerland) for image acquisition and reconstruction by screening 8000 points on the tissue section (30 shots averaged by position). Images were overlaid with the picture of the tissue slice before experiments using PaintShop Pro X software.

3. MALDI–MS/MS. MALDI–MS/MS experiments of 2 years old FFPE tissue sections after in situ digestion of the whole tissue section was performed on an Ultraflex II TOF–TOF instrument (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) equipped with LIFT III cell and smart beam laser with a repetition rate up to 200 Hz. For MS/MS experiments, parameters were set as follow: laser repetition rate was 100 Hz with 33% attenuation; ion source voltages were respectively 8 and 7.3 kV on MALDI sample plate and first electrode; LIFT cell was pulse from ground for electrode 1 and 2 to 19 kV, and in the last step,
Samples were washed during 2 min at 10 °C mobile phase A (95% H$_2$O, 5% ACN, and 0.1% formic acid). A 150 mm i.d., 100% pore size, Dionex Corporation) and separation performed using the BioTool 3.0 interface (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) connected to Mascot search engine and interrogating the Swiss-Prot databank.

4. NanoRPLC–MS/MS. Analyses were performed on an ion trap mass spectrometer (LCQ deca XP plus, Thermo electron). For each run, 0.5 μL of digest was injected with a Switchos Autosampler (Dionex Corporation) and separation performed on a reverse-phase C18 silica-bonded stationary phase (75 μm i.d., 150 mm long, 3 μm-100 Å pore size, Dionex Corporation). Samples were washed during 2 min at 10 μL/min with 100% mobile phase A (95% H$_2$O, 5% ACN, and 0.1% formic acid).

Peptides were eluted using a linear gradient of 1%/min mobile phase B (80% ACN, 20% H$_2$O, and 0.08% formic acid) during 70 min at a flow rate of 0.200 μL/min. The LCQ was operated in a data-dependent MS/MS mode in which one MS full scan was operated in a data-dependent MS/MS mode in which one MS full scan was followed by one MS/MS scan on the most abundant peptide molecular ion. Collision energy was set to 35%. The heated capillary temperature and electrospray voltage were 160 °C and 1.5 kV, respectively.

Protein identification was performed with the Mascot sequence query search program using the Swiss-Prot database filtered for the taxonomy “rattus”. A tolerance of 2 Da for peptide and 0.8 Da for MS/MS was fixed. Only protein sequences with MOWSE score higher than 32 (indicating significant homology or identity) and identified in several samples representing 2 significant MS/MS were considered. Methionine oxidation was defined as variable modification.

Results

Formalin fixation is known to induce methylene bridges between free amine groups, especially between amino groups of the lysine lateral chains or the N-terminal of proteins. The fixation process has also been described to continue during conservation of the sample. Thus, direct analysis and MALDI imaging were used in the present study in relation to conservation time of the tissue samples using rat brain FFPE tissue blocks as models.

In a first approach, FFPE tissues that were fixed for over 24 h and stored for less than 1 year were examined and compared to fresh frozen tissue samples in terms of spectra quality (i.e., signal intensity and resolution, signal-to-noise ratio, and mass range) by direct MALDI analysis. Sinapinic acid (SA) and α-cyano 4-hydroxycinnamic acid (HCCA) matrices were both tested and results compared with those of frozen tissues. For both matrices, decreased signal intensity and number of peptides/proteins detected were observed in FFPE tissues. Loss of signal was especially important in the higher mass range and in particular using HCCA as matrix. The best results in terms of signal intensity and mass range were obtained using SA. A comparison of direct MALDI analysis of FFPE tissues versus frozen tissues (Figure 1) with SA as the matrix shows that the same ions are observed on both mass spectra, although the signal intensity is slightly lower for FFPE tissues and the relative peak intensities differ. A most striking observation appears in the peak shape, FFPE tissues present multiple overlapping peaks resulting in loss of resolution and difficulties in mass measurement, whereas frozen samples present classical single peak shapes and optimal resolution. Multiple peaks showed a repetition of +12 Da to the [M + H]$^+$ ion generally observed (e.g., m/z 5493.08 and m/z 5505.47). The formation of these multiple peaks is directly connected to the process of formalin fixation and has previously been described.26,27 These adducts’ formation can be explained by a mechanism described by Metz et al.28 suggesting the formation of a Protein–N=CH$_2$ compound (with ΔM = 12). FFPE tissues can be examined using direct MALDI analysis, but a decrease in signal intensity and resolution was observed. Protein cross-linking cannot be reversed resulting in observed difficulties. In addition, it is likely that nonreacted formalin molecules are also problematic for MALDI analysis.

Accordingly, we examined the possibility of neutralizing residual formalin molecules to improve the signal. In traditional analytical procedures, 2,4-DNPH was successfully used to detect the presence of ketone or aldehyde molecules in solution. Moreover, 2,4-DNPH was used as a MALDI matrix29 by reacting with reactive functions and especially free aldehydes. Here, we show that 2,4-DNPH can be used as matrix for direct tissue analysis (Figure 2). When used on FFPE tissues, this matrix provides excellent results. A large in-signal intensity is observed for the m/z range corresponding to peptides greater than 5000 kDa, and the peak of adducts corresponding to protein–N=CH$_2$ ions is suppressed (Figure 2). Adducts’ suppression allows for a much more precise m/z determination, and for peptide mass range, MALDI direct analysis performances are similar to those of frozen tissues. Even though methylene bridges are very strong links, it is still likely that the 2,4-DNPH is able to neutralize aldehydes which have not reacted with NH$_2$ groups, resulting in increased signal of the unreacted species. While 2,4-DNPH performs well on tissues, for higher masses, direct analysis remains difficult. One of the major obstacles for the use of this matrix in MALDI imaging has been the crystallization pattern. 2,4-DNPH crystallizes in long needles that do not properly cover the entire surface of the tissue. However, a mixture of 2,4-DNPH with HCCA in equal proportion preserves the benefit of the DNPH, i.e., neutralization of formalin, while HCCA gives the desired even crystallization across the tissue surface. This matrix mix was then used to perform MALDI imaging on FFPE rat brain tissue sections stored for 6–12 months. As shown in Figure 3 for reconstructed images from data recorded in the cerebellum, specific localization of peptides is observed as given in examples for m/z 1075, 1594, 2726, and 5163 ions.

For FFPE rat brain tissues stored over 1 year, it is impossible to obtain good signal by direct analysis of tissue after paraffin
removal (Figure 4). This phenomenon can be attributed to formalin reticulation which progresses with time, creating a particularly abundant protein network. For such tissues, another analytical strategy must be developed. Considering that the methylene bond is a strong link that is difficult to break without destroying the peptide backbone, we designed a biochemical approach using endopeptidase enzymatic digestion. Recently, this approach was successfully applied for protein identification from formalin-fixed tissues using LC-MS/MS. However, to our knowledge, direct digestion on FFPE tissues for direct MALDI-MS analysis has never been tested. Whole tissue digestion was carried out by covering the entire section with enzyme solution. Numerous signals (>300) corresponding to digested peptides were observed, as presented in Figure 5 for a 15 min trypsin digestion. Interestingly, because very low fragmentation yields are obtained (even on frozen sections), as previously described, enzymatically digested peptides present the classical behavior of peptides toward fragmentation. Metastable decay experiments performed on observed peptide ions with a MALDI-TOF/TOF directly on the tissue after digestion enabled us to identify several proteins, as illustrated for the fragmentation study of a peptide at m/z 1372.68 that was identified to be the hemoglobin \( R \)-chain by data bank interrogation with an ion score of 18 fragments of MS/MS identified on 58 total fragments possible (mainly b and y fragments, which is consistent with the experiments), con-
firms that the peptides correspond to digested peptides within the tissue. Other proteins such as β-tubulin were also identified in the same way.

Several parameters, such as the buffer used, the concentration of the enzyme, and the temperature and time of digestion, were tested. Tris or bicarbonate buffers gave similar results. In regards to temperature, digestion was preferably carried out at ambient temperature, and no major difference was observed when compared with a digestion at 37 °C, probably due to the strong concentration of enzyme used. Concerning the time of digestion, many signals were obtained with very short incubations (2 min) as well as longer ones (up to 3 h), but depending upon the time of digestion, peptide profiles were very different, with much lower mass ions (or peptides) obtained for longer digestion time. These incubation times remain shorter than the traditional overnight digestions which could lead to signal saturation due to the abundance of detected peptides. In all cases, experiments were highly reproducible for identical incubation times and enzyme concentrations. Various enzymes were tested, mainly, V8 protease, AspN, and Lys C endopeptidases. As expected, specific profiles were obtained depending upon the enzyme used (Figure 6). Other fixation procedures were studied (e.g., Bouin’s protocol) and successfully analyzed after enzymatic digestion (data not shown). It is thus possible to obtain and detect peptides/proteins directly from fixed tissues, by MALDI direct analysis after enzymatic digestion with various types of enzyme regardless of the fixation process used.

The same in situ digestion experiments can be carried out on microareas (up to 1 mm) by microdepositing the enzyme solution on specific areas of the tissue using either a micropipet for largest spots or a very fine capillary for smallest ones. Digestions on very small areas give excellent results. Microdigestion presents several advantages including less complex peptides/proteins mixtures, since less of these compounds are present on smaller areas, better enzymatic hydrolysis yields, and minimized delocalization, since at maximum delocalization we reach the dimension of the microarea. Comparison of digestion profiles obtained from two different regions of the same rat brain section after MALDI direct analysis shows that digestion peptides are very different, thus, validating the strategy.

Moreover, to obtain MALDI direct analysis of tissues compatible with histological procedures and in particular with histological staining methods that are classically used in hospitals for diagnosis of biopsy samples, 2 year old rat brain FFPE tissue sections were submitted to enzymatic digestion following coloration. Chauret al. have shown that only some staining procedures were compatible with direct analysis, as well as imaging of frozen tissues. The area of interest can be located, then directly analyzed by mass spectrometry after matrix deposition. In our study, methylene blue, toluidine blue, and hematoxylin eosin safran (HES) staining were tested and compared to adjacent unstained tissue sections using direct MALDI analysis in the same regions after microspotting of the enzyme solutions. This procedure resulted in acceptable signal levels (Figure 7). The detection of peptides is slightly lower than that for untreated tissue, in the mass range of 1000–1500 and 1800–2300 u, but is basically the same as observed in unstained sections. This phenomenon has also been noted during the study of frozen tissues by Chauret al., and for FFPE tissues, a correlation between time and coloration of the tissue leads to a considerable reduction of spectral quality. Nevertheless, when traditional times of staining are used, very good signals, mainly equal to signals obtained from unstained sections, were observed for direct MALDI analysis after trypsic digestion.

To improve protein identification, extraction procedures after in situ digestion were tested. Various extraction procedures were examined (see Figure 8), including Tris buffer, H2O, ethanol, and direct deposition of functionalized magnetic beads (C8 or C3 functionalized silica beads). The mass spectra show that certain ions present very different abundance depending on the extraction conditions. These results provide evidence for the importance of the extraction step that orientates protein identification depending on the physicochemical properties of the buffer or support used inducing a prefractination of the
very complex sample. The extraction of digestion peptides was followed by a purification step for desalting and buffer removal when needed (i.e., Tris buffer or ethanol extraction) before injection on a nanoLC-nanoESI system. Finally, different strategies can be used to obtain protein identification. The different strategies tested are schematically represented and summarized in Figure 9. Basically, it is possible to work directly on tissue up to the step of digestion peptides’ extraction for the LC-MS and MS/MS analyses. Here, it is again possible to choose either to perform a global digestion of one part of the tissue section before extracting the resulting peptides using either EtOH, Tris buffer, or functionalized magnetic beads. In another strategy, enzymatic digestion can be performed on a microarea (as previously presented) by rastering the tissue and using an automatic microspotter. In this case, digested peptides can be extracted by covering the whole tissue with Tris buffer and pipetting it for analysis. It is also possible to scrap one part of the tissue section (e.g., the half), transfer the tissue pieces into a tube, and perform the enzymatic digestion before analysis by nanoLC-MS and MS/MS.

The methodology was validated by comparing the nano-HPLC profiles for the different strategies. Comparable results were obtained for the same extraction protocols, demonstrating that in situ digestion and extraction yield identical results as in vitro ones. Figure 10 shows a chromatogram obtained from the total ion current of the mass spectrometer detector against the retention time obtained after on-tissue digestion with trypsin and extraction using C8 functionalized silica magnetic beads of a whole part of the FFPE tissue. Measuring m/z of peptides for each chromatographic peak, performing automatic MS/MS experiments on the most intense ones, and using these data for databanks analysis, we identified more than 100 proteins with this approach (Table 1). All proteins identified are proteins expected to be found in rat brain. From the databases, subcellular localization of these proteins was obtained, and notably, proteins from very different cellular compartments such as the cytoplasm, nuclear envelope, or cytoskeleton are present, demonstrating that “MALDI enzyme assisted direct analysis” digestion not only occurs at the surface of the cell, but also produces an efficient hydrolysis within cells. Similarly, identified proteins are implicated in very different biological activities (e.g., enzyme, regulation, or signal transduction) demonstrating that this strategy can obtain a large panel of protein functions. Moreover, detection of very high mass proteins directly from tissue, for example, Na+/K+ transporting ATPase (111 kDa) or neural cell adhesion molecule (95 kDa), was also possible. Validation of this strategy on in situ enzymatic digestion of FFPE tissues was carried out with a similar analysis by nanoLC-MS/MS of frozen tissues treated with trypsin, using the same digestion time and studying the same area of the brain, resulted in very similar protein profiles.

The last challenge was to attempt MALDI imaging of FFPE tissues of greater than 2 years using the enzymatic digestion strategy. Digesting the whole tissue section was possible but would have produced a possible delocalization of certain peptides liberated in the digestion process. Different solutions were tested to limit this phenomenon, including a vaporization of matrix, ionic matrices, or the use of an automatic spotter which allows the deposition of microdroplets of matrix point by point. Studies clearly demonstrate that the microspotting method is the best suited for MALDI imaging. Rastering the tissue section with automatic microspotting of trypsin enzyme results in digest peptides profiles of the whole sample with a limited delocalization to within the size of the matrix spot (i.e., 300 μm). For these studies, classically used Tris buffer was replaced with bicarbonate buffer to avoid desalting steps. Digestion was efficient only after several spotings of the enzyme (2 or 3 depending on the deposited volume) on the same spots, thus, requiring a high-accuracy positioning microspotter. After digestion, matrix solution was then spotted on the same spots as the enzyme, and the tissue section was submitted to MALDI analysis, recording the images following the same path of deposition. From the data recorded, images of numerous proteins could be indirectly obtained by looking...
to their corresponding digestion peptides images. Images of proteins identified by the LC–MS/MS experiments can be obtained such as histone H3.3 with \( m/z \) 1033 ion, NCAM 1 with \( m/z \) 1521 ion, myelin protein with two different digestion fragments at \( m/z \) 1803 and 1340, and malate dehydrogenase with \( m/z \) 1103 ions as presented in Figure 11. Each of these proteins, as observed from the MALDI images, has very different distributions in the rat brain tissue section. Images reconstructed on different ions corresponding to different digestion fragments give similar images, showing the validity of the presented strategy. Moreover, using similar automatic microdigestion, followed by microdeposition of the matrix, we were able to verify that the same protein localization was obtained for both the FFPE tissues and the frozen sections, as
shown for \( m/z \) 1103 ion repartition corresponding to a digestion peptide of malate dehydrogenase protein.

**Discussion**

FFPE is so far the most convenient method for pathologist to conserve samples in hospital tissue banks. However, FFPE induces proteins cross-linking and provokes difficulties for MALDI direct analysis. Moreover, cross-linking continues in time; thus, different analytical strategies must be developed. For conservation time below 1 year, direct analysis remains possible, but notable loss in resolution and signal are observed especially for higher mass proteins. When the 2,4-DNPH

---

**Table 1.** Table of Proteins Matching by Interrogation of Swiss-Prot Databank Based on the Whole Protein Digestion Data Obtained by Direct MALDI Analysis of in Situ Digestion of the Whole Tissue Section of a 2 year Old FFPE Rat Brain Tissue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>measured ( m/z )</th>
<th>calculated ( m/z )</th>
<th>% variation</th>
<th>protein</th>
<th>accession number</th>
<th>mass (Da)</th>
<th>match score</th>
<th>molecular function</th>
<th>subcellular location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1016.2</td>
<td>1015.5</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Enolase</td>
<td>P04764</td>
<td>46967</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>Enzyme</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1033.0</td>
<td>1032.6</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>Histone H3.3</td>
<td>P42424</td>
<td>15187</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Nuclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1144.1</td>
<td>1144.6</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>Tubulin ( \beta ) Chain</td>
<td>P06997</td>
<td>49829</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>Structural protein</td>
<td>Microtubule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1188.9</td>
<td>1188.6</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Actin</td>
<td>P60711</td>
<td>41710</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>Cell mobility</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1303.8</td>
<td>1303.6</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Na(^+)/K(^+) Transporting apatase</td>
<td>P60687</td>
<td>111620</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>Enzyme</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1340.0</td>
<td>1339.7</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Malate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>O89899</td>
<td>36461</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>Enzyme</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1370.0</td>
<td>1369.7</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>GLyceraldehyde 3P dehydrogenase</td>
<td>P04797</td>
<td>35682</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>Enzyme</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1394.2</td>
<td>1393.7</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>Neurofilament triplet L</td>
<td>P19527</td>
<td>61167</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>Maintenance of neuronal caliber</td>
<td>Cytoskeleton, nuclear envelope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1490.1</td>
<td>1490.7</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>Protein kinase C inhibitor protein</td>
<td>P63102</td>
<td>27754</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Intracellular signaling, signal transduction, cell cycle</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1516.1</td>
<td>1516.1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>HSC 71 kDa</td>
<td>P63018</td>
<td>70827</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>Molecular chaperone</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1520.0</td>
<td>1519.1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Neural cell adhesion molecular</td>
<td>P13596</td>
<td>94599</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1548.6</td>
<td>1548.7</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Elongation factor 1–( \alpha )</td>
<td>P62630</td>
<td>50082</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Nuclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1616.0</td>
<td>1615.8</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Syntaxin 1/( \beta )</td>
<td>P61265</td>
<td>33224</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Regulating protein</td>
<td>Cytoplasm and membrane associated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1621.1</td>
<td>1621.8</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>Triosephosphate isomerase</td>
<td>P48500</td>
<td>26773</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Enzyme</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1683.6</td>
<td>1683</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Phospho glycerate mutase 1</td>
<td>P25113</td>
<td>28497</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Cytosol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1748.0</td>
<td>1747.8</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Fructose biphosphat aldolase</td>
<td>P05065</td>
<td>39196</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>Enzyme</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1759.8</td>
<td>1759.8</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Histone H2B</td>
<td>Q06715</td>
<td>13851</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Nuclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1770.6</td>
<td>1770.8</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Neurofilament triplet M</td>
<td>P12839</td>
<td>95603</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Maintenance of neuronal caliber</td>
<td>Cytoskeleton, nuclear envelope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1804.7</td>
<td>1804.9</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Myelin basic protein S</td>
<td>P02688</td>
<td>14071</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Membranae associated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1830.3</td>
<td>1829.9</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>DRP2</td>
<td>P47942</td>
<td>62239</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>Axon elaboraton</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1884.5</td>
<td>1893.9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>Internexin</td>
<td>P23565</td>
<td>56082</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>Maintenance of neuronal caliber</td>
<td>Cytoskeleton, nuclear envelope</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( * \) Results include protein score and percentage of sequence coverage.

**Figure 11.** MALDI molecular images reconstructed from the data recorded on the 2 years old FFPE rat brain tissue section after microspotted in situ trypsin digestion followed by extraction, performed on MALDI-TOF/TOF using HCCA as matrix, and compared to rat brain picture and morphology (each experiment was conducted 5 times).
reactive matrix is used, signal of peptides (less than 5 kDa) can be retrieved with a normal resolution. When 2,4-DNPH reactive matrix is mixed with HCCA, very good matrix crystallization patterns are obtained, and MALDI imaging of the peptides/proteins can be directly performed on such tissues, with comparable results to those of frozen conserved samples.

For longer stored tissue (>1 year), very few signals were obtained from the FFPE tissues, and another strategy was developed based on tissue enzymatic digestion. This approach gave abundant signal for MALDI direct analysis of 2 years old FFPE rat brain tissues and was shown to be compatible with classical histology colorations. Protein identification was also possible by extraction after the digestion and analysis by LC–MS/MS. “MALDI–MS enzyme assisted direct analysis” allowed the detection of many proteins with different subcellular location, biological activities, or molecular mass including high molecular weight compounds. By combining enzymatic cleavage on tissue with high-accuracy automatic spotting of enzyme and matrix, and ESI identification of peptides/proteins, we performed for the first time MALDI imaging on 2 years old archived FFPE rat brain tissues and successfully obtained the expected localization of several identified proteins. The localization of various proteins on frozen tissue is identical when compared to that of proteins on FFPE tissue as in the case of malate dehydrogenase.

These results provide access to archived tissues for proteomic studies using MALDI–MS direct analysis and imaging experiments for localization of a large number of compounds in a single experiment.

**Abbreviations:** FFPE, formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded; nanoLC, nanoflow liquid chromatography; OCT, optimal cutting temperature compound; MALDI, matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation; MS, mass spectrometry; DNPH, 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine; HCCA, α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid; SA, sinapinic acid; ITD, iodine teen oxide.
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